

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/759,177	01/12/2001	Franz Amtmann	AT 000001	9986
24737 7	7590 04/04/2006		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			KIM, KEVIN	
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
		DATE MAILED: 04/04/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. •	·	AV.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/759,177	AMTMANN, FRANZ
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kevin Y. Kim	2611
The MAILING DATE of this commu Period for Reply	unication appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisio after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this cor - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for rep Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIONS of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a mmunication. statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ply will, by statute, cause the application to become A is after the mailing date of this communication, even i	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on 02 March 2006	
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in conditio	, —	tters, prosecution as to the merits is
	ctice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	
Disposition of Claims	, , ,	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,9,11-14,17,20 and 22</u>	sis/are nending in the annication	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6, 9,11-14,17,20 and 22</u>	2 is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	-	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restr	riction and/or election requirement.	•
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by t	the Examiner.	
· ·	e: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.
	jection to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	•
	ng the correction is required if the drawing	
11) The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
2. Certified copies of the priorit	ty documents have been received. by documents have been received in A s of the priority documents have beer	Application No
	tional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office act	ion for a list of the certified copies no	t received.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
2) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	· · · - · · · /	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	or PTO/SB/08) 5) 🔲 Notice of 6) 🗍 Other:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 09/759,177

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The indicated allowability of claims 10 and 21 (now cancelled and combined with claims 1 and 12 respectively) is withdrawn because it was discovered that the claims failed to comply with the requirements of USC 112 first paragraph as explained below.

It is duly noted that applicant amended claims 1 and 12 by adding the subject matter of claim 10, now cancelled, which was indicated allowable, if combined into the base claim, over the cited prior art in the previous Office action. Upon a review of the specification and drawings it appears that the indication of allowability was premature because there is no disclosed embodiment corresponding to the claims.

It was acknowledged that the prior fails to teach controlling **both** the second transmission coil and the capacitor configuration. Specifically, the prior art teaches controlling the value of the capacitor configuration only.

Claims 1 and 12 find their support in Fig.2. While the value of the capacitor configuration (CC) is controllable by way of switch S1 as it is comprised of two capacitors, the value of the second transmission coil (L2) is not controllable regardless of a switching operation of switches S and S1. Specifically, Fig.3 and Fig.4 show the equivalents circuits of Fig.2 when the switches are open (non-conductive state) and closed (conductive state) respectively. It can bee seen that the value of the at least second transmission coil (L2) remains the same because it is connected to the same nodes in either case. It appears that applicant intended that the value of the transmission coil configuration, comprising the first and second transmission coils, is controllable since when the switches are open only the second transmission coil (L2) is coupled to the chip

Application/Control Number: 09/759,177

Art Unit: 2611

(CH) and when the switches are closed both of the transmission coils (L1 and L2) are coupled to the chip, resulting the value of the transmission coil configuration varied.

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-6, 9,11-14,17,20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The claims require that "the value of both the at least second transmission coil and the capacitor configuration" be controllable. However, the specification fails to disclose controlling the value of he at least second transmission coil. The claimed invention is looked at in light of Figs 2-4. While the value of the capacitor configuration (CC) is controllable by way of switch S1, the value of the second transmission coil (L2) is not controllable regardless of a switching operation of switches S and S1. Specifically, Fig.3 and Fig.4 show the equivalents circuits of Fig.2 when the switches are open (non-conductive state) and closed (conductive state) respectively. And yet, the value of the at least second transmission coil (L2) remains the same since it is connected to the same nodes in either case.

Thus, the limitation in issue was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/759,177

Page 4

Art Unit: 2611

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Y. Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-3039. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM --5PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on 571-272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

March 23, 2006

KEVIN KIM **PATE**ÁT EXAMINER

Keun phim